

SUBJECT: MOUNT PLEASANT / ST LAWRENCE S106

MEETING: CABINET

DATE: 10th January 2018 DIVISION/WARDS AFFECTED: St Christopher's, St Mary's and Larkfield

1. PURPOSE:

This report seeks approval to distribute Section 106 funding for the development at Mount Pleasant and St Lawrence.

The report details the proposed use of the funding and the background to the funding.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**:

To approve the proposed distribution of the funding to schools.

3. KEY ISSUES:

3.1 Following the housing development at Mount Pleasant and St Lawrence, section 106 funding was secured for:

'The Council covenants only to use the Education Contribution towards the provision of additional infant and junior school facilities within a distance of 1500 metres of the site'.

3.2 There is no definition of facilities, however subsequent agreements have defined this as:

"...means the Council provision of education facilities, being improvements to existing schools or colleges or as a contribution to the construction costs of any new school or college which covers the catchment area of the Site, and also covers the provision of books and materials in any or all of the schools in the catchment area of the Site or any other educational establishment from time to time for which the Council as educational authority is responsible should the catchment area schools in relation to the Site change'.

- 3.3 A total funding of £16,000 was received and the three schools within the prescribed area are:
 - Pembroke Primary School
 - The Dell Primary School
 - St Mary's RC Primary School.

- 3.4 The pupils numbers for the schools are (Jan 17):
 - Pembroke Primary School 240
 - The Dell Primary School 420
 - St Mary's RC Primary School 202.
- 3.5 While the use of the funding will be a decision for the Governing Body, it must fit in the terms of the agreement. All schools have suggested it will be to increase the ICT resources in their school.

4. OPTIONS APPRAISAL

There were three options considered to distribute funding to the schools.

- 1. Pupil numbers
- 2. Pupils numbers from the development that attend each school
- 3. Equal split a third each.

The Schools have worked with their governing bodies and have decided their preferred distribution is an equal split and they would each receive £5,333.

The schools felt that they wanted to ensure that the funding was split fairly and after considering the other two methods, they felt that to ensure that all pupils could benefit their preferred method of distribution was an equal split.

5. EVALUATION CRITERIA

This is included in appendix A.

6. REASONS:

As previously stated the funding allocations have been discussed with all three Headteachers, they have agreed collectively that their preferred method of allocation is an equal split and therefore this report is requesting that Cabinet agree this.

7. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

There are no resource allocations as the funding has already been received.

8. WELLBEING OF FUTURE GENERATIONS IMPLICATIONS (INCORPORATING EQUALITIES, SUSTAINABILITY, SAFEGUARDING AND CORPORATE PARENTING):

This is included in appendix 2.

9. CONSULTEES:

SLT Cabinet DMT Headteacher's of the three schools named Local Ward Members for the three wards.

10. BACKGROUND PAPERS:

Relevant Section 106 agreement.

11. AUTHOR:

Nikki Wellington

12. CONTACT DETAILS:

Tel: 01633 644549 E-mail: nicolawellington@monmouthshire.gov.uk

Appendix 1

Title of Report:	MOUNT PLEASANT / ST LAWRENCE S106
Date decision was	10 th January 2018
made:	
Report Author:	Nikki Wellington

What will happen as a result of this decision being approved by Cabinet or Council?

Section 106 monies distributed in the terms of the agreement and to the benefit of the 3 schools. Schools will be able to purchase resources for the benefit of their pupils.

12 month appraisal

What benchmarks and/or criteria will you use to determine whether the decision has been successfully implemented?

How the resources have been used to the benefit of the pupils, this will need to come from school data.

12 month appraisal

What is the estimate cost of implementing this decision or, if the decision is designed to save money, what is the proposed saving that the decision will achieve?

The total funding distributed is £16,000.

12 month appraisal

Any other comments